

PERFORMANCE OF PUBLIC SECTOR INFRASTRUCTURE
PROJECTS IN PAKISTAN: THE ROLE OF PROJECT
GOVERNANCE THROUGH STAKEHOLDER
MANAGEMENT AND BENEFIT MANAGEMENT

ASADULLAH KHAN

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : Dr. MUHAMMAD WARIS ALI KHAN

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : Dr. MAZITA BINTI MOKHTAR

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : ASADULLAH KHAN

ID Number : PPT17004

Date :

PERFORMANCE OF PUBLIC SECTOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN
PAKISTAN: THE ROLE OF PROJECT GOVERNANCE THROUGH
STAKEHOLDER MANAGEMENT AND BENEFIT MANAGEMENT

ASADULLAH KHAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Industrial Management
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECEMBER 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise for Almighty ALLAH, The Creator, The Most Merciful and Compassionate, who guides in darkness and helps in difficulties and all respects are for our HOLY PROPHET MUHAMMAD (P.B.U.H), who enabled us to recognize our Creator.

I feel privileged and pleasure to express my profound and cordial gratitude to my respected supervisor Dr. Muhammad Waris Ali Khan, for his kind guidance, encouragement, and constructive criticism during this thesis work. I am very grateful to my co-supervisors (Allahyarham) Professor Dato Dr. Ishak Bin Ismail and Dr. Mazita Binti Mokhtar for providing me with the best support in the compilation of this thesis.

I am thankful to all the professionals of the Planning Commission of Pakistan and Provincial Planning and Development Departments of Pakistan for their valuable inputs and sincere participation in this research endeavor. This research was not possible without infrastructure and requisite materials and resource. For this, I extend thanks to Mr. Israr Ahmed, Deputy Chief and Mr. Faisal Usman, Assistant Chief, Planning and Development Department, Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan, for providing me with the requisite facilities throughout my research tenure.

I am grateful to my entire family for all of the love, encouragement, and prayers. To my beloved father and mother, thank you for being my supporters, your unconditional love, prayers, and support has meant the world to me. To my brothers, Saeedullah Khan, Altaf Ali Khan, Kaleemullah Khan, and sisters, a special thanks for the invaluable support and prayers. To my sons, Muhammad Bin Asad, Yusuf Bin Asad, Dawood Bin Asad, and my daughter Hajra Binte Asad, you are my inspiration to achieve greatness. To my wife, profound thanks for the sacrifices you made during this challenging tenure and being there for me.

To my friends, thank you for listening, supporting, and offering me advice throughout the entire process. Special thanks to Rizwan Sajid, Zeeshan Asim, Mehfoozullah, Muhammad Bilal, Jawad Asif, and Fakhar Ud Din. The debates, dinners, rides, and general help and friendship are much appreciated. Also, I would like to thank my childhood friend Dr. Faisal Rana who gave me the necessary directions and motivation for this degree. Likewise, to my friends scattered around the world, thank you for your thoughts, well wishes and being there whenever I needed a friend.

Finally, I am grateful to have had the privilege to attend Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia. This experience has allowed me to achieve great success.

ABSTRAK

Tadbir urus projek, pengurusan faedah dan pengurusan pihak berkepentingan telah diakui sebagai satu cara untuk meningkatkan kebarangkalian untuk menyampaikan projek yang berjaya, tetapi fungsi sepenuhnya masih belum diterokai untuk melaksanakan projek infrastruktur di sektor awam. Sepanjang sedekad yang lalu, tadbir urus projek di sektor awam menjadi topik utama kepustakaan terutamanya dalam subjek projek, program, dan pengurusan portfolio. Pada masa sekarang, topik ini menjadi tumpuan utama bagi pembuat dasar bagi memastikan kejayaan dalam memilih, mereka bentuk, dan melaksanakan program yang ditaja oleh pihak kerajaan. Oleh kerana faktor yang rumit, tadbir urus program infrastruktur merupakan elemen penting terutamanya dalam perancangan strategik di negara-negara yang sedang membangun. Kajian ini menganalisis prestasi projek infrastruktur bagi sektor awam di Pakistan. Secara keseluruhannya, tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk meneroka peranan pentadbiran projek dalam pelaksanaan projek sektor awam melalui pengurusan manfaat dan pengurusan pihak berkepentingan. Dalam kajian ini, sorotan kajian yang teliti dijalankan untuk menyiasat prestasi projek. Kajian menggunakan pendekatan positifis dan rekabentuk kuantitatif. Teknik kuantitatif digunakan untuk melaksanakan semua aspek kajian. Kemudian, kajian ini diikuti dengan melaksanakan pensampelan rawak berstrata. Bagi menganalisis data, berbagai teknik statistik digunakan iaitu ujian kebolehpercayaan dan ujian keesahan, analisis deskriptif, ujian kenormalan, dan ujian korelasi. Berdasarkan teori-teori yang relevan, satu rangka kerja konsep dibangunkan. Penyiasatan empirik dilaksanakan di kalangan profesional di Suruhanjaya Perancangan Pakistan dan di Jabatan Perancangan dan Pembangunan Wilayah Pakistan. Pemodelan persamaan struktur atau '*Structural equation modelling*' adalah teknik statistik yang digunakan untuk menguji model dan semua hipotesis dalam kajian ini. Penemuan kajian menunjukkan bahawa fungsi pengurusan manfaat sebagai pengantara dan pengurusan pihak berkepentingan sebagai moderasi dalam hubungan tadbir urus projek dan prestasi projek dapat membantu dalam meningkatkan prestasi keseluruhan projek-projek infrastruktur di sektor awam; dimana keputusan telah menunjukkan bahawa pengurusan manfaat dan pengurusan pihak berkepentingan mempunyai kesan positif terhadap hubungan tadbir urus projek dan prestasi projek. Kajian ini menyumbang kepada teori pihak berkepentingan dan teori kos urus niaga. Berdasarkan kepustakaan teori pihak berkepentingan, penemuan menunjukkan bahawa dimensi tadbir urus projek dapat meningkatkan prestasi projek. Manakala bagi kepustakaan teori kos urus niaga, kajian ini menawarkan pandangan bahawa pendekatan teori kos urus niaga dapat membantu pembuat-pembuat keputusan dalam proses pembangunan. Secara praktikal, kajian ini boleh dijadikan sebagai pelan tindakan untuk tadbir urus projek yang berkesan dalam melaksanakan projek - projek infrastruktur di sektor awam. Pengesyoran utama kajian ini ialah projek pembangunan infrastruktur di sektor awam harus melabur dan mengadaptasi mekanisme tadbir urus projek supaya matlamat dan kejayaan sesuatu projek dapat dicapai. Ia adalah penting bagi pembangunan projek-projek di Pakistan untuk mencapai segala potensi dan manfaat di masa hadapan.

Kata kunci: tadbir urus projek, pengurusan manfaat, pengurusan pihak berkepentingan, prestasi projek, sektor awam.

ABSTRACT

Project governance, benefit management, and stakeholder management have been acknowledged as a means of increasing the probability of successful delivery of projects, but its full functions have yet to be explored for the performance of public sector infrastructure projects. The governance of public sector projects became an important topic of interest in the project, program, and portfolio management literature during the last decade. Today, it is becoming a central focus for policymakers to ensure success in selecting, designing, and implementing government-sponsored programs. Due to the complexities, the governance of infrastructure programs constitutes a critical element in strategic planning in developing countries. This study has analyzed the performance of public sector infrastructure projects in Pakistan. The overall aim of this study is to explore the role of project governance in the performance of public sector project through benefit management and stakeholder management. In this study, a thorough literature review is conducted to investigate the performance of the projects. The research uses a positivist approach and quantitative design. The quantitative technique is employed to address all the aspects of the study. Stratified random sampling is followed in this research. Different statistical techniques, i.e. reliability and validity assessment, descriptive analysis, normality test, and correlation are used to analyse the data. Based on the relevant theories, a conceptual framework is developed and an empirical investigation is carried out among professionals of the Planning Commission of Pakistan and provincial Planning and Development Departments. Structural equation modeling is the core statistical technique for testing the model and hypotheses. The findings of this study indicate that mediation and moderation of benefit management and stakeholder management respectively, in the relationship of project governance and project performance helps in improving the overall performance of public sector infrastructure projects i.e. the results have confirmed that benefit management and stakeholder management has a positive effect on the relationship of project governance and project performance. This study contributes to the stakeholder theory and transaction cost theory. The study contributes to the literature as the findings show that dimensions of project governance can be benefited from the stakeholder theory, which can enhance project performance. The study offers insights to the transaction cost theory approach and provides empirical work that helps decision-makers in the development process. Practically, this research can serve as a roadmap for effective project governance for the performance of public sector infrastructure projects. The main recommendation of this study is that the public sector infrastructure development projects should invest and adopt a project governance mechanism to achieve its goals and success. It is essential for the development projects in Pakistan to attain potential future benefits.

Keywords: Project governance, benefit management, stakeholder management, project performance, public sector.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of The Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	7
1.3 Research Questions	11
1.4 Research Objectives	11
1.5 Scope of the study	12
1.6 Significance of the Research	12
1.6.1 Theoretical Contribution	13
1.6.2 Practical Contribution	15
1.7 Definitions of the Key Terms	16
1.8 Thesis Structure	18

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	20
2.1 Introduction	20
2.1.1 Governance	20
2.1.2 Corporate Governance	22
2.2 Project Governance	22
2.3 Dimensions of Project Governance	29
2.3.1 Portfolio direction	30
2.3.2 Project sponsorship	30
2.3.3 Project management effectiveness and efficiency	30
2.3.4 Disclosure and reporting	31
2.3.5 Effective Project Governance	31
2.3.6 Elements of the Project Governance Framework	32
2.3.7 Project Governance Framework	34
2.3.8 Summarized Findings of Project Governance	36
2.4 Infrastructure projects	38
2.5 Project Planning in Pakistan	40
2.5.1 Public Sector Project Approval Mechanism in Pakistan	41
2.6 Importance of Public Sector Infrastructure Project in Pakistan	46
2.7 The need for Project Governance in Infrastructure Project in Pakistan	48
2.8 Stakeholder Management	52
2.8.1 Stakeholder Management Process	57
2.9 Stakeholders Attributes	60
2.9.1 Power	60
2.9.2 Legitimacy	60
2.9.3 Proximity	61
2.9.4 Urgency	61

2.10	Needs for Stakeholder Management	61
2.11	Benefit Management	65
2.11.1	Benefits Management and Value Generation	68
2.11.2	Benefits Management Process	69
2.11.3	Implementation of Benefit Management	71
2.12	Project Performance	73
2.12.1	Determiners for Successful Project Outcome	77
2.12.2	Critical Success Factors	77
2.13	Underpinning Theories for Conceptual Framework	78
2.13.1	Stakeholder Theory	79
2.13.2	Transaction Cost Economics Theory	82
2.14	Conceptual Model and Hypothesis Development	84
2.14.1	Project governance and project performance	87
2.14.2	Project governance and benefit management	88
2.15	Benefit management and project performance	89
2.16	Mediating Role of Benefit Management	89
2.17	The Moderating Role of Stakeholder Management	92
2.18	Summary	94
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		96
3.1	Introduction	96
3.2	Research Design Adopted	97
3.3	Research Design	98
3.3.1	Philosophy	98
3.3.2	Approach	99
3.3.3	Strategies	99

3.3.4	Choice	99
3.3.5	Time Horizon	99
3.3.6	Data Collection Method	99
3.4	Unit of Analysis	100
3.5	Sample Size Estimation	100
3.5.1	Sampling Technique	102
3.6	Measurement of Variables	105
3.7	Data Collection Tool	109
3.8	Pilot survey	109
3.9	Data Analysis	111
3.9.1	Reliability and Validity Assessment	111
3.9.2	Normality Test	112
3.9.3	Descriptive Analysis	112
3.9.4	Correlation	113
3.10	Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)	113
3.10.1	Regression Analyses (RA)	113
3.10.2	Path Analysis (PA)	114
3.10.3	Factor Analysis (FA)	114
3.10.4	Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	115
3.10.5	Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)	115
3.11	Mediation and Moderation Analysis	119
3.11.1	Mediation Analysis	119
3.11.2	Moderation Analysis	120
3.12	Relative Important Index Analysis	120
3.13	Research Ethics	121
3.14	Chapter Summary	122

CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS	123
4.1 Introduction	123
4.2 Normality Test	123
4.3 Response Rate	124
4.4 Demographic Information	125
4.5 Descriptive statistics	126
4.6 Relative Importance Index (RII)	127
4.7 Multivariate Analysis- Structural Equation Modelling	129
4.8 Measurement Model Testing	129
4.8.1 Model Identification	129
4.8.2 Assessing Overall Measurement Model Fitness	130
4.9 Validation of the Measurement Model: Psychometric Checks	133
4.9.1 Content Validity	134
4.9.2 Convergent Validity	134
4.9.3 Composite Reliability	135
4.9.4 Discriminant Validity	136
4.9.5 Unidimensionality	137
4.9.6 Nomological validity	137
4.10 Common Method Variance	138
4.10.1 Harman's Single Factor Test	138
4.10.2 Common Latent Factor	139
4.11 Structural Model Testing	140
4.12 The Mediating role of BM on PG and PP	141
4.12.1 Mediating role of BM on PD and PP	143
4.12.2 Mediating role of BM on SEE and PP	144
4.12.3 Mediating role of BM on DR and PP	146

4.13	Moderating Role of SM on PG and PP	147
4.13.1	Moderating Role of SM on PD and PP	149
4.13.2	Moderating Role of SM on SEE and PP	150
4.13.3	Moderating Role of SM on DR and PP	152
4.14	Hypotheses Testing	153
4.15	Summary	155
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		157
5.1	Introduction	157
5.2	Findings and Discussion	157
5.2.1	Accomplishing RO 1: Investigate the current practices of project governance in public sector infrastructure project;	158
5.2.2	Accomplishing RO 2: Examine the relationship between project governance and project performance of public sector infrastructure projects;	161
5.2.3	Accomplishing RO 3: Examine the mediating effect of benefit management in the relationship of project governance and project performance of public sector infrastructure projects;	162
5.2.4	Accomplishing RO4: Determine the moderating effect of stakeholder management in the relationship between project governance and project performance;	168
5.3	Contribution to Theory	172
5.4	Practical Implications	174
5.5	Limitations of this Study	175
5.6	Suggestions for Future Research	177
REFERENCES		180
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		228

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summarized findings on the role of project governance	37
Table 2.2	Roles of the different PC Pro-forma	45
Table 2.3	Summarized findings of the review	52
Table 2.4	Critical factors for successful projects	76
Table 3.1	Formulation of the total population	103
Table 3.2	Proportional allocation of sample size in different regions	104
Table 3.3	Stakeholder management (SM)	105
Table 3.4	Project Governance (PG)	107
Table 3.5	Benefit management (BM)	108
Table 3.6	Project performance (PP)	108
Table 3.7	Reliability test	111
Table 3.8	RII Assessment Scale	121
Table 4.1	Kurtosis and Skewness test	123
Table 4.2	Regional response rate	124
Table 4.3	Demographics of the respondents	126
Table 4.4	Descriptive, N=300	127
Table 4.5	Ranking of PG Practices	128
Table 4.6	AMOS Output: Computation of degrees of freedom	130
Table 4.7	Model fit indices of the Measurement Model	132
Table 4.8	Summary of dropped items in CFA	133
Table 4.9	Reliability test	135
Table 4.10	Factor Matrix Showing Discriminant Validity	137
Table 4.11	Total Variance Explained	139
Table 4.12	Model fit indices of the Structural Model	140
Table 4.13	Effect of project governance (PG) on project performance (PP)	142
Table 4.14	Bootstrap results	142
Table 4.15	Effect of portfolio direction (PD) on project performance (PP)	144
Table 4.16	Bootstrap results	144
Table 4.17	Effect of sponsorship, effectiveness and efficiency (SEE) on project performance (PP)	145
Table 4.18	Bootstrap results	145
Table 4.19	Effect of disclosure and reporting (DR) on project performance (PP)	146
Table 4.20	Bootstrap results	146

Table 4.21	Model Summary	147
Table 4.22	Conditional effects of project governance (PG) at different levels of stakeholder management (SM)	148
Table 4.23	Model Summary	149
Table 4.24	Conditional effects of portfolio direction (PD) at different levels of stakeholder management (SM)	149
Table 4.25	Model Summary	151
Table 4.26	Conditional effects of sponsorship, effectiveness, and efficiency (SEE) at different levels of the stakeholder management (SM)	151
Table 4.27	Model Summary	152
Table 4.28	Conditional effects of DR at different levels of the SM	152
Table 4.29	Hypotheses Results	155

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Thesis Structure	19
Figure 2.1	Project governance model	28
Figure 2.2	Project approval forums & process	44
Figure 2.3	Project lifecycle management in Pakistan	46
Figure 2.4	Generic stakeholder model	55
Figure 2.5	Stakeholder literature map	56
Figure 2.6	The power-interest matrix	81
Figure 2.7	Conceptual model	86
Figure 3.1	The research “Onion” for the study	97
Figure 3.2	Sampling flow	104
Figure 3.3	Path model (Mediation)	119
Figure 3.4	Path model (Moderation)	120
Figure 4.1	Radar diagram	128
Figure 4.2	Measurement Model	131
Figure 4.3	Structural Model	141
Figure 4.4	Effect of Project Governance (PG) on Project Performance (PP)	143
Figure 4.5	Effect of Portfolio direction (PD) on Project Performance (PP)	144
Figure 4.6	Effect of sponsorship effectiveness & efficiency (SEE) on project performance (PP)	145
Figure 4.7	Effect of disclosure & reporting (DR) on project performance (PP)	147
Figure 4.8	Levels of Stakeholder Management	148
Figure 4.9	Levels of Stakeholder Management	150
Figure 4.10	Level of Stakeholder Management	151
Figure 4.11	Levels of Stakeholder Management	153

LIST OF SYMBOLS

β	Regression coefficient
e	Margin of error
n	Sample size
N	Population size

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFGI	Adjusted Goodness-Of-Fit
AMOS	Analysis of Moment Structures
APM	Association for Project Management
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
BM	Benefit Management
BPS	Basic Pay Scale
CPEC	China Pakistan Economic Corridor
CSFs	Critical success factors
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CLF	Common Latent Factor
CM	Communication
CMB	Common Method Bias
CMV	Common Method Variance
DR	Disclosure and Reporting
DV	Dependent variable
e.g.	Exempli Gratia (for example)
EN	Engagement
ET	Empowerment
FA	Factor Analysis
GFI	Goodness-Of-Fit
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IC	Identification and Classification
i.e.	Id Est (that is)
IV	Independent variable
MRA	Multiple Regression Analysis
NEC	National Economic Council
OBOR	One Belt One Road
PA	Path Analysis
PC	Planning Commission
PG	Project Governance

PD	Portfolio Direction
P&D	Planning & Development Department
PIC	Public Infrastructure and Construction
PP	Project Performance
PPP	Public-Private Partnership
PPWD	Pakistan Public Works Department
PMBOK	Project Management Body of Knowledge
PMI	Project Management Institute
RA	Regression Analysis
RO	Research Objective
RQ	Research Question
RII	Relative Importance Index
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
RK	Risk Control
SEE	Sponsorship Effectiveness & Efficiency
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
SM	Stakeholder Management
TCE	Transaction Cost Economics
TLI	Tucker-Lewis index

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Questionnaire	229
Appendix B	Frequency distribution	234
Appendix C	Factor loadings, AVE and Composite Reliability	237
Appendix D	Amos Output Standardized Regression Weights	240
Appendix E	Total Variance Explained	242
Appendix F	Common Latent Factor, using CFA	244
Appendix G	Permission Letter	246
Appendix H	Acknowledgement Letter	247

REFERENCES

- Aaltonen, K. (2010). Stakeholder management in international projects.
- Aaltonen, K. (2011). Project stakeholder analysis as an environmental interpretation process. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(2), 165-183.
- Aaltonen, K., Jaakko, K., & Tuomas, O. (2008). Stakeholder salience in global projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(5), 509-516.
- Aaltonen, K., & Kujala, J. (2010). A project lifecycle perspective on stakeholder influence strategies in global projects. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 26(4), 381-397.
- Abdul-Rahman, H., Berawi, M., Berawi, A., Mohamed, O., Othman, M., & Yahya, I. (2006). Delay mitigation in the Malaysian construction industry. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 132(2), 125-133.
- Abednego, M. P., & Ogunlana, S. O. (2006). Good project governance for proper risk allocation in public-private partnerships in Indonesia. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(7), 622-634.
- Abid, M., & Ashfaq, A. (2015). CPEC: Challenges and opportunities for Pakistan. *Journal of Pakistan Vision*, 16(2), 142-169.
- Achterkamp, M. C., & Vos, J. F. (2008). Investigating the use of the stakeholder notion in project management literature, a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(7), 749-757.
- Aguilera, I. (2017). Delivering value: Focus on benefits during project execution: Newtown, PA, viewed.
- Ahlemann, F., Hesselmann, F., Braun, J., & Mohan, K. (2013). *Exploiting Is/It Projects' Potential-Towards A Design Theory For Benefits Management*. Paper presented at the ECIS.

- Ahmad, M. S., Asmi, F., Ali, M., Rahman, M. M., & Abbas, S. M. (2017). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: In the context of ‘String of Pearl Strategy. *International Journal of Business and Social Research*, 7(8), 26-42.
- Ahmed, A., Arshad, M. A., Mahmood, A., & Akhtar, S. (2017). Neglecting human resource development in OBOR, a case of the China–Pakistan economic corridor (CPEC). *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies*, 10(2), 130-142.
- Ahmed, I., Nawaz, M. M., Iqbal, N., Ali, I., Shaukat, Z., & Usman, A. (2010). Effects of motivational factors on employees job satisfaction a case study of University of the Punjab, Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(3), 70.
- Ahmed, R., & bin Mohamad, N. A. (2014). Performance of project in public sector of pakistan: Developing a Framework for future Challenges. *Serbian Project Management Journal*, 4(1), 3-12.
- Ahmed, R., & bin Mohamad, N. A. (2014). Performance of projects in public sector of Pakistan: Developing a framework for future challenges. *Serbian Project Management Journal*, 4(1), 3-12.
- Ahola, T., Ruuska, I., Artto, K., & Kujala, J. (2014). What is project governance and what are its origins? *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1321-1332.
- Ahsan, K., & Gunawan, I. (2010). Analysis of cost and schedule performance of international development projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(1), 68-78.
- Akhund, M. A., Khoso, A. R., Khan, J. S., Imad, H. U., & Memon, K. M. (2019). Prompting Cost Overrun Factors during PCP in Construction Projects. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 12, 4.

- Al-Reshaid, K., Kartam, N., Tewari, N., & Al-Bader, H. (2005). A project control process in pre-construction phases: Focus on effective methodology. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 12(4), 351-372.
- Al Qubaisi, J. M. L. F., Badri, M. A., Ajmal, M., & Elanain, H. M. A. (2015). Leadership, culture and team communication: analysis of project success causality—a UAE case.
- Aladwani, A. M. (2002). An integrated performance model information systems projects. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(1), 185-210.
- Ali, A. (2010). Investigating project management practices in public sector organisations of a less developed country.
- Almer, H. L., & Koontz, T. M. (2004). Public hearings for EIAs in post-communist Bulgaria: do they work? *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 24(5), 473-493.
- Altshuler, A. A., & Luberoff, D. E. (2004). *Mega-projects: The changing politics of urban public investment*: Brookings Institution Press.
- Amaratunga, D., Baldry, D., Sarshar, M., & Newton, R. (2002). Quantitative and qualitative research in the built environment: application of “mixed” research approach. *Work study*, 51(1), 17-31.
- Anantatmula, V. S. (2015). Strategies for enhancing project performance. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 31(6), 04015013.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1982). Some methods for respecifying measurement models to obtain unidimensional construct measurement. *Journal of marketing research*, 19(4), 453-460.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological bulletin*, 103(3), 411.

- Ann, T., Shen, Q., Kelly, J., & Hunter, K. (2007). An empirical study of the variables affecting construction project briefing/architectural programming. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(2), 198-212.
- APM. (2004). *Directing Change: A Guide to Governance of Project Management* (second ed. ed.). Ibis House, Regent Park, UK.: Association for Project Management
- APM. (2009). *Change for better*. Retrieved from
- APM. (2011). *Directing Change: A Guide to Governance of Project Management* (second ed. ed.). Ibis House, Regent Park, UK.: Association for Project Management
- APM. (2012). *APM Body of Knowledge* (6 ed.): Association for Project Management.
- APM. (2015). *Conditions for Project Success: APM Research Report*. . Retrieved from Association for Project Management.:
- Ashurst, C., & Doherty, N. F. (2003). Towards the formulation of 'a best practice' framework for benefits realisation in IT projects. *Electronic Journal of Information Systems Evaluation*, 6(2), 1-10.
- Ashurst, C., Doherty, N. F., & Peppard, J. (2008). Improving the impact of IT development projects: the benefits realization capability model. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 17(4), 352-370.
- Assaf, S. A., & Al-Hejji, S. (2006). Causes of delay in large construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(4), 349-357.
- Atkin, B., & Skitmore, M. (2008). Stakeholder management in construction. *Construction management and economics*, 26(6), 549-552.

- Atkinson, R. (1999). Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(6), 337-342.
- Azhar, N., Farooqui, R. U., & Ahmed, S. M. (2008). *Cost overrun factors in construction industry of Pakistan*. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Construction in Developing Countries (ICCIDC-I), Advancing and Integrating Construction Education, Research & Practice.
- Badewi, A. (2016). The impact of project management (PM) and benefits management (BM) practices on project success: Towards developing a project benefits governance framework. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(4), 761-778.
- Bagozzi, R. P., Yi, Y., & Phillips, L. W. (1991). Assessing construct validity in organizational research. *Administrative science quarterly*, 421-458.
- Bal, M. (2014). *Stakeholder Engagement and Sustainability-related Project Performance in Construction*. Liverpool John Moores University.
- Bal, M., Bryde, D., Fearon, D., & Ochieng, E. (2013). Stakeholder engagement: Achieving sustainability in the construction sector, *Sustainability* 5 (2): 695–710: DOI.
- Ballard, G. (2008). The Lean Project Delivery System: An Update. *Lean Construction Journal*.
- Barclay, D., Higgins, C., & Thompson, R. (1995). *The partial least squares (PLS) approach to casual modeling: personal computer adoption ans use as an Illustration*.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 51(6), 1173.

- Bartlett, J. (2006). Managing programmes of business change 4th ed. *Hampshire, UK: Project Manager Today*.
- Beckers, F., Chiara, N., Flesch, A., Maly, J., Silva, E., & Stegemann, U. (2013). A risk-management approach to a successful infrastructure project. *McKinsey Work. Pap. Risk*(52), 18.
- Bekker, M. C. (2014). Project governance:" schools of thought". *South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences*, 17(1), 22-32.
- Bekker, M. C. (2015). Project Governance—the definition and leadership dilemma. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 194, 33-43.
- Bekker, M. C., & Steyn, H. (2007). *Defining 'project governance'for large capital projects*. Paper presented at the AFRICON 2007.
- Bekker, M. C., & Steyn, H. (2009). Project governance: definition and framework. *Journal of Contemporary Management*, 6(1), 214-228.
- Beringer, C., Jonas, D., & Kock, A. (2013). Behavior of internal stakeholders in project portfolio management and its impact on success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(6), 830-846.
- Besner, C., & Hobbs, B. (2012). An empirical identification of project management toolsets and a comparison among project types. *Project Management Journal*, 43(5), 24-46.
- Bharati, P., & Chaudhury, A. (2004). An empirical investigation of decision-making satisfaction in web-based decision support systems. *Decision Support Systems*, 37(2), 187-197.
- Biesenthal, C., & Wilden, R. (2014). Multi-level project governance: Trends and opportunities. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1291-1308.

- Black, K. (1996). Causes of project failure: a survey of professional engineers. *PM NETWORK*, 10, 21-24.
- Blaikie, N. (2007). *Approaches to social enquiry: Advancing knowledge*: Polity.
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). A new incremental fit index for general structural equation models. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 17(3), 303-316.
- Bonke, S., & Winch, G. (2002). Project stakeholder mapping: analyzing the interests of project stakeholders *Frontiers of project management research* (pp. 385-405): Project Management Institute, PMI.
- Booth-Kewley, S., Larson, G. E., & Miyoshi, D. K. (2007). Social desirability effects on computerized and paper-and-pencil questionnaires. *Computers in human behavior*, 23(1), 463-477.
- Bourne, L. (2005). *Project relationship management and the stakeholder circle*. (Doctoral thesis), RMIT University, Melbourne, .
- Bourne, L., & Walker, D. H. (2005). Visualising and mapping stakeholder influence. *Management decision*, 43(5), 649-660.
- Bourne, L., & Walker, D. H. (2006). Visualizing stakeholder influence—Two Australian examples. *Project Management Journal*, 37(1), 5-21.
- Bourne, L., & Walker, D. H. (2008). Project relationship management and the Stakeholder Circle™. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 1(1), 125-130.
- Bourne, L., & Weaver, P. (2010). Mapping Stakeholders *Construction stakeholder management* (pp. 99-120): Wiley-Blackwell.
- Bowen, P. L., Cheung, M.-Y. D., & Rohde, F. H. (2007). Enhancing IT governance practices: A model and case study of an organization's efforts. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 8(3), 191-221.

- Bradley, G. (2016). *Benefit Realisation Management: A practical guide to achieving benefits through change*: Routledge.
- Brame, A., & Barlow, G. (2010). KPMG: KPMG.
- Breese, R. (2012). Benefits realisation management: Panacea or false dawn? *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(3), 341-351.
- Breese, R., Jenner, S., Serra, C. E. M., & Thorp, J. (2015). Benefits management: Lost or found in translation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(7), 1438-1451.
- Brunet, M., & Aubry, M. (2016). The three dimensions of a governance framework for major public projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(8), 1596-1607.
- Bryde, D. J. (2005). Methods for managing different perspectives of project success. *British journal of management*, 16(2), 119-131.
- Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2007). *Business Research Methods* (2nd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press
- Buchholtz, A. K., & Carroll, A. B. (2012). *Business & society: Ethics & stakeholder management*: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- Burcar Dunović, I. (2010). A study of project governance frameworks for large infrastructure projects with reflection on road transport projects. *Organization, Technology & Management in Construction: An International Journal*, 2(1), 145-155.
- Burke, R., & Barron, S. (2014). *Project management leadership: building creative teams*: John Wiley & Sons.

- Byrne, B. M. (2010). Multivariate applications series. *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming (2nd ed.)*. New York, NY, US: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Byrne, B. M. (2016). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*: Routledge.
- Calvert, S. (1995). *Managing Stakeholders: The commercial project manager*: McGraw-Hill New York, NY.
- Cano, J. L., & Lidón, I. (2011). Guided reflection on project definition. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(5), 525-536.
- Cardenas, I. C., Voordijk, H., & Dewulf, G. (2017). Beyond theory: Towards a probabilistic causation model to support project governance in infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(3), 432-450.
- Carmines, E. G., & Zeller, R. A. (1979). *Reliability and validity assessment* (Vol. 17): Sage publications.
- Chan, A. P., Scott, D., & Lam, E. W. (2002). Framework of success criteria for design/build projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 18(3), 120-128.
- Chan, D. W., & Kumaraswamy, M. M. (1997). A comparative study of causes of time overruns in Hong Kong construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 15(1), 55-63.
- Chang, A., Chih, Y.-Y., Chew, E., & Pisarski, A. (2013). Reconceptualising mega project success in Australian Defence: Recognising the importance of value co-creation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(8), 1139-1153.
- The Chaos Report. (1995). *The Standish Group*. Retrieved from <https://www.projectsmart.co.uk/white-papers/chaos-report.pdf> website:

- Chen, I. J., & Paulraj, A. (2004). Towards a theory of supply chain management: the constructs and measurements. *Journal of operations management*, 22(2), 119-150.
- Chen, Y., Okudan, G. E., & Riley, D. R. (2010). Sustainable performance criteria for construction method selection in concrete buildings. *Automation in construction*, 19(2), 235-244.
- Chi, C. S., Ruuska, I., & Xu, J. (2016). Environmental impact assessment of infrastructure projects: a governance perspective. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 59(3), 393-413.
- Chih, Y.-Y., & Zwikael, O. (2015). Project benefit management: A conceptual framework of target benefit formulation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(2), 352-362.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). Commentary: Issues and opinion on structural equation modeling: JSTOR.
- Chinyio, E., & Olomolaiye, P. (2010a). Introducing Stakeholder Management *Construction stakeholder management* (pp. 1-12): Wiley-Blackwell.
- Chinyio, E., & Olomolaiye, P. (2010b). Introducing stakeholder management. *Construction stakeholder management*, 1.
- Chinyio, E. A., & Akintoye, A. (2008). Practical approaches for engaging stakeholders: findings from the UK. *Construction management and economics*, 26(6), 591-599.
- Choudhry, R. M. (2019). Risk Analysis Related to Cost and Schedule for a Bridge Construction Project *Perspectives on Risk, Assessment and Management Paradigms*: IntechOpen.
- Choudhry, R. M., Aslam, M. A., Hinze, J. W., & Arain, F. M. (2014). Cost and schedule risk analysis of bridge construction in Pakistan: Establishing risk guidelines. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 140(7), 04014020.

- Christ, N., & Ferrantino, M. J. (2011). Land transport for export: The effects of cost, time, and uncertainty in sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*, 39(10), 1749-1759.
- Christensen, T. (2011). The Norwegian front-end governance regime of major public projects: A theoretically based analysis and evaluation. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 4(2), 218-239.
- Christoffersen, A. K., & Emmitt, S. (2009). Case Study A Exploring the Value Universe: A Values-Based Approach to Design Management. *Architectural management: International research and practice*, 34-52.
- Cicmil, S., & Braddon, D. (2012). Fading Glory? Decision-Making around the Project—How and Why ‘Glory’ Projects Fail *Project Governance* (pp. 221-255): Springer.
- Clarkson, M. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of management review*, 20(1), 92-117.
- Cleland, D., & Ireland, L. (2002). Project management: Strategic design and implementation McGraw-Hill. *New York*.
- Cleland, D. I. (1986). *Project stakeholder management*: Wiley Online Library.
- Coakes, S. J., Steed, L. G., Coakes, S., & Steed, L. (2003). Multiple response and multiple dichotomy analysis. *SPSS: analysis without anguish: Version 11.0 for Windows*, 215-224.
- Cochran, W. G. (2007). *Sampling techniques*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Coenen, F. H. (2002). The role of stakeholders in changing consumption and production patterns.
- Commerce, G. B. O. o. G. (2007). *Managing successful programmes*: The Stationery Office.

- Cooke-Davies, T. (2002). The “real” success factors on projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(3), 185-190.
- Coombs, C. R. (2015). When planned IS/IT project benefits are not realized: a study of inhibitors and facilitators to benefits realization. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(2), 363-379.
- Cooper, R. B., & Zmud, R. W. (1990). Information technology implementation research: a technological diffusion approach. *Management science*, 36(2), 123-139.
- Cordeiro, J. J., & Tewari, M. (2015). Firm characteristics, industry context, and investor reactions to environmental CSR: A stakeholder theory approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 130(4), 833-849.
- Crawford, L., Cooke - Davies, T., Hobbs, B., Labuschagne, L., Remington, K., & Chen, P. (2008). Governance and support in the sponsoring of projects and programs. *Project Management Journal*, 39(S1).
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*: Sage publications.
- Cunningham, E., Holmes-Smith, P., & Coote, L. (2006). Structural equation modelling: From the fundamentals to advanced topics. *Streams Statsline, Melbourne*.
- Curran, C.-S., Niedergassel, B., Picker, S., & Leker, J. (2009). Project leadership skills in cooperative projects. *Management Research News*, 32(5), 458-468.
- Dal Maso, L., Mazzi, F., Soscia, M., & Terzani, S. (2018). The moderating role of stakeholder management and societal characteristics in the relationship between corporate environmental and financial performance. *Journal of environmental management*, 218, 322-332.
- Daniel, C., & EZE, O. L. (2016). The role of Formal and Informal Communication in Determining Employee Affective and Continuance Commitment in Oil and Gas

- Companies. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research-Social & Management Sciences*, 2(9), 33-44.
- Deegan, B., & Parkin, J. (2011). Planning cycling networks: human factors and design processes. *Proceedings of the ICE-Engineering Sustainability*, 164(1), 85-93.
- Dent, C. M. (2016). *East Asian Regionalism*: Routledge.
- Derakhshan, R., Turner, R., & Mancini, M. (2019). Project governance and stakeholders: a literature review. *International Journal of Project Management*, 37(1), 98-116.
- Diener, E., & Crandall, R. (1978). *Ethics in social and behavioral research*: U Chicago Press.
- do Rosário Bernardo, M. (2014). Performance Indicators for Enhancing Governance of Projects. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 55-64.
- Doherty, N. F. (2014). The role of socio-technical principles in leveraging meaningful benefits from IT investments. *Applied ergonomics*, 45(2), 181-187.
- Doherty, N. F., Ashurst, C., & Peppard, J. (2012). Factors affecting the successful realisation of benefits from systems development projects: findings from three case studies. *Journal of Information Technology*, 27(1), 1-16.
- Doloi, H. (2009). Relational partnerships: the importance of communication, trust and confidence and joint risk management in achieving project success. *Construction management and economics*, 27(11), 1099-1109.
- Doloi, H., Iyer, K., & Sawhney, A. (2011). Structural equation model for assessing impacts of contractor's performance on project success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(6), 687-695.
- Doloi, H., Sawhney, A., & Iyer, K. (2012). Structural equation model for investigating factors affecting delay in Indian construction projects. *Construction management and economics*, 30(10), 869-884.

- Doloi, H., Sawhney, A., Iyer, K., & Rentala, S. (2012). Analysing factors affecting delays in Indian construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(4), 479-489.
- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of management review*, 20(1), 65-91.
- Dong, C., Chuah, K., & Zhai, L. (2004). *A study of critical success factors of information system projects in China*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of th PMI Research Conference, London.
- El-Gohary, N. M., Osman, H., & El-Diraby, T. E. (2006). Stakeholder management for public private partnerships. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(7), 595-604.
- Elias, A. A., Cavana, R. Y., & Jackson, L. S. (2002). Stakeholder analysis for R&D project management. *R&D Management*, 32(4), 301-310.
- Enserink, B., & Koppenjan, J. (2007). Public participation in China: sustainable urbanization and governance. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 18(4), 459-474.
- Eriksson, P. E., & Pesämaa, O. (2007). Modelling procurement effects on cooperation. *Construction management and economics*, 25(8), 893-901.
- Eskerod, P., & Jepsen, A. L. (2013). *Project stakeholder management*: Gower Publishing, Ltd.
- Fageha, M. K. (2014). *MANAGING STAKEHOLDERS' PARTICIPATION TO IMPROVE PROJECT SCOPE DEFINITION COMPLETENESS AND ENHANCE PROJECT OUTCOME*. The University of Melbourne.

- Fageha, M. K., & Aibinu, A. A. (2013). Managing project scope definition to improve stakeholders' participation and enhance project outcome. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 74, 154-164.
- Fanelli, A., & Misangyi, V. F. (2006). Bringing out charisma: CEO charisma and external stakeholders. *Academy of management review*, 31(4), 1049-1061.
- Farbey, B., Land, F., & Targett, D. (1999). The moving staircase—problems of appraisal and evaluation in a turbulent environment. *Information Technology & People*, 12(3), 238-252.
- Fein, M. R. (2012). Tunnel Vision: “Invisible” Highways and Boston’s “Big Dig” in the Age of Privatization. *Journal of Planning History*, 11(1), 47-69.
- Fellows, R. F., & Liu, A. M. (2015). *Research methods for construction*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS*: Sage publications.
- Fligstein, N. (2001). *The architecture of markets: An economic sociology of twenty-first-century capitalist societies*: Princeton University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2013). Quality control and due diligence in project management: Getting decisions right by taking the outside view. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(5), 760-774.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., & Rothengatter, W. (2003). *Megaprojects and risk: An anatomy of ambition*: Cambridge University Press.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of marketing research*, 18(1), 39-50.
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach* Harpercollins College Div.: Cambridge University Press, UK.

- Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*: Cambridge university press.
- Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C. (2007). *Managing for stakeholders: Survival, reputation, and success*: Yale University Press.
- Freeman, R. E., & McVea, J. (2001). A stakeholder approach to strategic management. *The Blackwell handbook of strategic management*, 183-201.
- Frijns, P., Van Leeuwen, F., & Bierwolf, R. (2017). *Project management-a more balanced approach*. Paper presented at the Technology & Engineering Management Conference (TEMSCON), 2017 IEEE.
- Fujita, M., Krugman, P. R., Venables, A. J., & Fujita, M. (1999). *The spatial economy: cities, regions and international trade* (Vol. 213): Wiley Online Library.
- Gardiner, P. D. (2005). *Project management: A strategic planning approach*: Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Garland, R. (2009). *Project Governance: A practical guide to effective project decision making*: Kogan Page Publishers.
- Garver, M. S., & Mentzer, J. T. (1999). Logistics research methods: employing structural equation modeling to test for construct validity. *Journal of business logistics*, 20(1), 33.
- Garvin, M. J. (2009). *Governance of PPP projects through contract provisions*. Paper presented at the Conference of Leadership and Management of Construction.
- Gaskin, J. (2012). Common method bias (CMB). *Gaskination's StatWiki*, available at: <http://statwiki.kolobkreations.com>.
- Gazder, U., & Khan, R. A. (2018). Effect of Organizational Structures and Types of Construction on Perceptions of Factors Contributing to Project Failure in

Pakistan. *Mehran University Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 37(1), 12.

Gerbing, D. W., & Anderson, J. C. (1988). An updated paradigm for scale development incorporating unidimensionality and its assessment. *Journal of marketing research*, 25(2), 186-192.

Glynne, P. (2007). Benefits management-changing the focus of delivery. *Association for Progress Management Yearbook 2006*, 7, 45-49.

Greene, J., & Stellman, A. (2013). *Head First PMP: A Learner's Companion to Passing the Project Management Professional Exam*: " O'Reilly Media, Inc."

Griffith, A. F., Gibson, G. E., Hamilton, M. R., Tortora, A. L., & Wilson, C. T. (1999). Project success index for capital facility construction projects. *Journal of performance of constructed facilities*, 13(1), 39-45.

Gündüz, M., Nielsen, Y., & Özdemir, M. (2012). Quantification of delay factors using the relative importance index method for construction projects in Turkey. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 29(2), 133-139.

Guo, F., Chang-Richards, Y., Wilkinson, S., & Li, T. C. (2014). Effects of project governance structures on the management of risks in major infrastructure projects: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(5), 815-826. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.10.001>

Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., & Anderson, R. (2009). *Multivariate Data Analysis* 7th Edition Pearson Prentice Hall.

Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & William, C. (1998). Black (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*, 5, 87-135.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis* (Vol. 5): Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.

- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (Vol. 7): Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 40(3), 414-433.
- Handa, V., & Adas, A. (1996). Predicting the level of organizational effectiveness: a methodology for the construction firm. *Construction Management & Economics*, 14(4), 341-352.
- Haque, A., & Anwar, S. (2012). Linking Top Management Support and IT Infrastructure with Organizational Performance: Mediating Role of Knowledge Application/LIER AUX APPUIS DU TOP MANAGEMENT ET D'INFRASTRUCTURE EN ITT AVEC LA PERFORMANCE ORGANISATIONNELLE: ROLE MEDIEUR DE LA DEMANDE DES CONNAISSANCES. *Canadian Social Science*, 8(1), 121.
- Hartmann, A., & Hietbrink, M. (2013). An exploratory study on the relationship between stakeholder expectations, experiences and satisfaction in road maintenance. *Construction management and economics*, 31(4), 345-358.
- Hellström, M., Ruuska, I., Wikström, K., & Jåfs, D. (2013). Project governance and path creation in the early stages of Finnish nuclear power projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(5), 712-723.
- Henisz, W. J., Levitt, R. E., & Scott, W. R. (2012). Toward a unified theory of project governance: economic, sociological and psychological supports for relational contracting. *Engineering Project Organization Journal*, 2(1-2), 37-55.
- Hesselmann, F., & Kunal, M. (2014). Where are we headed with benefits management research? Current shortcomings and avenues for future research.

- Hietbrink, M., Hartmann, A., & Dewulf, G. (2012). Stakeholder expectation and satisfaction in road maintenance. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 48, 266-275.
- Hilb, M. (2011). *New Corporate Governance: Successful Board Management Tools* (Vol. 4): Springer.
- Hjelmbrekke, H., Hjelmbrekke, H., Klakegg, O. J., Klakegg, O. J., Lohne, J., & Lohne, J. (2017). Governing value creation in construction project: a new model. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 10(1), 60-83.
- Hjelmbrekke, H., Klakegg, O. J., & Lohne, J. (2017). Governing value creation in construction project: a new model. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 10(1), 60-83.
- Hjelmbrekke, H., Lædre, O., & Lohne, J. (2014). The need for a project governance body. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 7(4), 661-677.
- Hoetker, G., & Mellewigt, T. (2009). Choice and performance of governance mechanisms: matching alliance governance to asset type. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(10), 1025-1044.
- Hooper, D., Coughlan, J., & Mullen, M. (2008). Structural equation modelling: Guidelines for determining model fit. *Articles*, 2.
- Howell, G., Laufer, A., & Ballard, G. (1993). Uncertainty and project objectives. *Project Appraisal*, 8(1), 37-43.
- Hyväri, I. (2006). Project management effectiveness in project-oriented business organizations. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(3), 216-225.
- Iacobucci, D. (2010). Structural equations modeling: Fit indices, sample size, and advanced topics. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 20(1), 90-98.

- Iarossi, G. (2006). *The power of survey design : a user's guide for managing surveys, interpreting results, and influencing respondents*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Innes, J. E., & Booher, D. E. (2004). Reframing public participation: strategies for the 21st century. *Planning theory & practice*, 5(4), 419-436.
- IPA. (2017). *Guide for Effective Benefits Management in Major Projects*. Retrieved from Infrastructure and Projects Authority, United Kingdom:
- IPMA. (2015.). *International Project Management Association, Individual Competence Baseline for Project, Programme & Portfolio Management*. . Retrieved from IPMA, Zurich.:
- Iqbal, S., Choudhry, R. M., Holschemacher, K., Ali, A., & Tamošaitienė, J. (2015). Risk management in construction projects. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 21(1), 65-78. doi:10.3846/20294913.2014.994582
- Irfan, M., & Hassan, M. (2017). The Effect of Project Success on Corporate Reputation of the Public Sector Organizations in Pakistan. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 11(S3), 815-832.
- Islam, M. S., Trigunaryah, B., Hassanain, M., & Assaf, S. (2015). *Causes of delay in construction projects in Bangladesh*. Paper presented at the The 6th International Conference on Construction Engineering and Project Management, Busan, Korea.
- Israel, G. D. (1992). Determining sample size.
- Jacoby, S. (2005). Corporate governance and society. *Challenge*, 48(4), 69-87.
- Jäger, T., & Zakharova, A. (2014). Stakeholder participation to improve societal acceptance for mega projects.: A case study of the forum for the coal-power plant “Datteln 4” project.
- Jänicke, M., Jörgens, H., Jörgensen, K., & Nordbeck, R. (2001). Governance for sustainable development in Germany: Institutions and policy making. *Report for*

the OECD. Internet-Download: [www. oecd. org/puma/strat/pubs/GermanySD. pdf](http://www.oecd.org/puma/strat/pubs/GermanySD.pdf).

Javed, S. A., Javed, S., & Sajid, A. (2015). Assessing the managerial perception of relative significance of ten Knowledge Areas on project success—A case from Pakistan. *Journal of Management and Science*, 5(3), 1-18.

Jenner, S. (2012). Benefits realization – building on (un) safe foundations or planning for success? *PM World Journal*, 1(1).

Jenner, S. (2014). *Managing Benefits* (2nd ed.): The Stationery Office.

Jenner, S. (2015). Why do projects ‘fail’ and more to the point what can we do about it? The case for disciplined, ‘fast and frugal’ decision-making. *Management*, 45(2), 6-19.

Jenner, S. (2016). *Transforming government and public services: realising benefits through project portfolio management*: Routledge.

Jepsen, A. L., & Eskerod, P. (2009). Stakeholder analysis in projects: Challenges in using current guidelines in the real world. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(4), 335-343.

Jergeas, G. F., Williamson, E., Skulmoski, G. J., & Thomas, J. L. (2000). Stakeholder management on construction projects. *AACE International Transactions*, P12A.

Johansen, A., Eik-Andresen, P., & Ekambaram, A. (2014). Stakeholder benefit assessment—Project success through management of stakeholders. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 581-590.

Johnson, G., Scholes, K., & Whittington, R. (2008). *Exploring corporate strategy: text & cases*: Pearson Education.

Johnston, D. (2004). *OECD Principles of Corporate Governance* OECD.

- Jonny Klakegg, O. (2009). Pursuing relevance and sustainability: Improvement strategies for major public projects. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 2(4), 499-518.
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1996). *PRELIS 2 user's reference guide: A program for multivariate data screening and data summarization: A preprocessor for LISREL*: Scientific Software International.
- Joslin, R., & Müller, R. (2015). Relationships between a project management methodology and project success in different project governance contexts. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(6), 1377-1392.
- Joslin, R., & Müller, R. (2016). The relationship between project governance and project success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(4), 613-626.
- Jugdev, K., & Müller, R. (2005). *A retrospective look at our evolving understanding of project success*.
- Karlsen, J. T. (2002). Project stakeholder management. *Engineering Management Journal*, 14(4), 19-24.
- Kelly, É. V. (2010). *Governance rules! The principles of effective project governance*. Paper presented at the PMI Global Congress Proceedings North America, Washington, DC. Newtown Square, PA : Project Management Institute.
- Kenny, C. (2007). *Infrastructure governance and corruption: where next?* (Vol. 4331): World Bank Publications.
- Kerzner, H. (1998). *In search of excellence in Project Management*: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Kerzner, H. (2013). *Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling*: John Wiley & Sons.

- Kerzner, H. (2017). *Project management metrics, KPIs, and dashboards: a guide to measuring and monitoring project performance*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kerzner, H., & Kerzner, H. R. (2017). *Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Khan, A., Waris, M., Ismail, I., Sajid, M. R., Ullah, M., & Usman, F. (2019). Deficiencies in Project Governance: An Analysis of Infrastructure Development Program. *Administrative Sciences*, 9(1), 9. doi:10.3390/admsci9010009
- Khan, K., Turner, J. R., & Maqsood, T. (2013). *Factors that influence the success of public sector projects in Pakistan*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of IRNOP 2013 Conference.
- Khattak, M. S., Mustafa, U., & Shah, S. (2016). Mapping Project Management Competencies with Different Complexities for Improving Performance. *Journal of Managerial Sciences*, 10(2).
- Kikwasi, G. (2013). *Causes and effects of delays and disruptions in construction projects in Tanzania*. Paper presented at the Australasian Journal of Construction Economics and Building-Conference Series.
- Killen, C. P., Hunt, R. A., & Kleinschmidt, E. J. (2008). Project portfolio management for product innovation. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 25(1), 24-38.
- Klakegg, O. J., Samset, K., & Magnussen, O. M. (2005). *Improving Success in Public Investment Projects. Lessons from a Government Initiative in Norway to Improve Quality at Entry*. Paper presented at the 19th IPMA World Congress.
- Klakegg, O. J., Williams, T., & Magnussen, O. M. (2007). Design of innovative government frameworks for major public investment projects: A comparative study of governance frameworks in UK and Norway.

- Klakegg, O. J., Williams, T., Magnussen, O. M., & Glasspool, H. (2008). Governance frameworks for public project development and estimation. *Project Management Journal*, 39(S1).
- Klakegg, O. J., Williams, T., & Shiferaw, A. T. (2016). Taming the ‘trolls’: Major public projects in the making. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(2), 282-296.
- Kline, R. (2011). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling, 3rd edn Guilford Press. *New York*.
- Kline, R. B. (2011). Principles and practice of structural equation modeling 3rd ed: New York, NY, The Guilford Press.
- Kloppenborg, T. J., Tesch, D., & Manolis, C. (2011). Investigation of the sponsor's role in project planning. *Management Research Review*, 34(4), 400-416.
- Kochhar, R. (1996). Explaining firm capital structure: The role of agency theory vs. transaction cost economics. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(9), 713-728.
- Kolltveit, B. J., & Grønhaug, K. (2004). The importance of the early phase: the case of construction and building projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 22(7), 545-551.
- Kometa, S. T., Olomolaiye, P. O., & Harris, F. C. (1994). Attributes of UK construction clients influencing project consultants' performance. *Construction management and economics*, 12(5), 433-443.
- Koskela, L., & Kagioglou, M. (2006). *The proto-theory of design: the method of analysis of the ancient geometers*. Paper presented at the DS 36: Proceedings DESIGN 2006, the 9th International Design Conference, Dubrovnik, Croatia.
- Kossova, T., & Sheluntcova, M. (2016). Evaluating performance of public sector projects in Russia: The choice of a social discount rate. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(3), 403-411.

- Kulshreshtha, P. (2008). Public sector governance reform: the World Bank's framework. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 21(5), 556-567.
- Kumar Suri, P. (2014). Flexibility of processes and e-governance performance. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 8(2), 230-250.
- Kwak, Y. H., Walewski, J., Sleeper, D., & Sadatsafavi, H. (2014). What can we learn from the Hoover Dam project that influenced modern project management? *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(2), 256-264.
- Lam, E. W., Chan, A. P., & Chan, D. W. (2007). Benchmarking the performance of design-build projects: Development of project success index. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 14(5), 624-638.
- Lander, M. C., Purvis, R. L., McCray, G. E., & Leigh, W. (2004). Trust-building mechanisms utilized in outsourced IS development projects: a case study. *Information & Management*, 41(4), 509-528.
- Landin, A. (2000). *Impact of quality management in the Swedish construction process*: Department of Construction Management, Lund Institute of Technology.
- Langtry, B. (1994). Stakeholders and the moral responsibilities of business. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 431-443.
- Larsen, J. K., Shen, G. Q., Lindhard, S. M., & Brunoe, T. D. (2015). Factors affecting schedule delay, cost overrun, and quality level in public construction projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 32(1), 04015032.
- Larson, E. W., & Gray, C. (2013). *Project management: The managerial process with MS project*: McGraw-Hill.
- Lawani, A., & Moore, D. (2016). Project Management Practices in Government Organizations of Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. *THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BUSINESS & MANAGEMENT*, 4(9), 89-98.

- Leiblein, M. J. (2003). The choice of organizational governance form and performance: Predictions from transaction cost, resource-based, and real options theories. *Journal of management*, 29(6), 937-961.
- Lester, A. (2006). *Project management, planning and control: managing engineering, construction and manufacturing projects to PMI, APM and BSI standards*: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Leung, M.-Y., & Olomolaiye, P. (2010). Risk and Construction Stakeholder Management *Construction stakeholder management* (pp. 75-98): Wiley-Blackwell.
- Leung, M.-y., Yu, J., & Liang, Q. (2013). Improving public engagement in construction development projects from a stakeholder's perspective. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 139(11), 04013019.
- Levitt, R. E., Henisz, W., Scott, W. R., & Settel, D. (2010). *Governance challenges of infrastructure delivery: the case for socio-economic governance approaches*. Paper presented at the Construction Research Congress 2010: Innovation for Reshaping Construction Practice.
- Levitt, R. E., Henisz, W. J., & Settel, D. (2009). *Defining and mitigating the governance challenges of infrastructure project development and delivery*. Paper presented at the Conference on Leadership and Management of Construction.
- Li, T. H., Ng, S. T., & Skitmore, M. (2012). Conflict or consensus: An investigation of stakeholder concerns during the participation process of major infrastructure and construction projects in Hong Kong. *Habitat International*, 36(2), 333-342.
- Li, Y., Lu, Y., & Peng, Y. (2011). Hierarchical structuring success factors of project stakeholder management in the construction organization. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(22), 9705.
- Lin, C., & Pervan, G. P. (2001). A review of IS/IT investment evaluation and benefits management issues, problems and processes *Information technology evaluation methods and management* (pp. 2-24): IGI Global.

- Littau, P., Dunović, I. B., Pau, L.-F., Mancini, M., Dieguez, A. I., Medina-Lopez, C., . . . Nahod, M.-M. (2015). Managing Stakeholders in Megaprojects-The MS Working Group Report.
- Litwin, M. S. (1995). *How to Measure Survey Reliability and Validity*. USA: Sage Publications.
- Liu, A. M., & Walker, A. (1998). Evaluation of project outcomes. *Construction Management & Economics*, 16(2), 209-219.
- Liu, T., Wang, Y., & Wilkinson, S. (2016). Identifying critical factors affecting the effectiveness and efficiency of tendering processes in Public–Private Partnerships (PPPs): A comparative analysis of Australia and China. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(4), 701-716.
- Lizarralde, G., Davidson, C., De Blois, M., & Pukteris, A. (2008). Building abroad: procurement of construction and reconstruction projects in the international context. *Building Abroad: Procurement of construction and reconstruction projects in the international context*, 508.
- Long, N. D., Ogunlana, S., Quang, T., & Lam, K. C. (2004). Large construction projects in developing countries: a case study from Vietnam. *International Journal of Project Management*, 22(7), 553-561.
- Lu, P., Guo, S., Qian, L., He, P., & Xu, X. (2015). The effectiveness of contractual and relational governances in construction projects in China. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(1), 212-222.
- Macheridis, N. (2017). Governance of higher education–implementation of project governance. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 23(2), 85-102.
- Mahalingam, A. (2009). PPP experiences in Indian cities: barriers, enablers, and the way forward. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 136(4), 419-429.

- Maignan, I., Ferrell, O., & Ferrell, L. (2005). A stakeholder model for implementing social responsibility in marketing. *European journal of marketing*, 39(9/10), 956-977.
- Mainul Islam, M., & Faniran, O. O. (2005). Structural equation model of project planning effectiveness. *Construction management and economics*, 23(2), 215-223.
- Malhotra, N. K. (2002). *Basic marketing research: applications to contemporary issues*: Recording for the Blind & Dyslexic.
- March, C. (2009). *Operations Management for Construction*. USA and Canada: Taylor & Francis.
- Martinsuo, M., & Lehtonen, P. (2007). Role of single-project management in achieving portfolio management efficiency. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(1), 56-65.
- Mathur, V. N., Price, A. D., & Austin, S. (2008). Conceptualizing stakeholder engagement in the context of sustainability and its assessment. *Construction management and economics*, 26(6), 601-609.
- Mazur, A., Pisarski, A., Chang, A., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2014). Rating defence major project success: The role of personal attributes and stakeholder relationships. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(6), 944-957.
- Mazur, A. K., & Pisarski, A. (2015). Major project managers' internal and external stakeholder relationships: The development and validation of measurement scales. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(8), 1680-1691.
- McGrath, S. K., & Whitty, S. J. (2015). Redefining governance: from confusion to certainty and clarity. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 8(4), 755-787.
- Melton, T., Iles-Smith, P., & Yates, J. (2008). Project benefits management: linking your project to the business [en línea]: Amsterdam.

- Meskendahl, S. (2010). The influence of business strategy on project portfolio management and its success—a conceptual framework. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(8), 807-817.
- Meso, P., Datta, P., & Mbarika, V. (2006). Moderating information and communication technologies' influences on socioeconomic development with good governance: A study of the developing countries. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 57(2), 186-197.
- Meso, P., Musa, P., Straub, D., & Mbarika, V. (2009). Information infrastructure, governance, and socio-economic development in developing countries. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 18(1), 52-65.
- Miguel, P. A. C. (2008). Implementação da gestão de portfólio de novos produtos: um estudo de caso. *Produção*, 18(2), 388-404.
- Miller, R., & Floricel, S. (2000). Building governability into project structures. *Miller R., Lessard, D.*
- Miller, R., & Lessard, D. (2008). *Evolving strategy: risk management and the shaping of mega-projects*: Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of management review*, 22(4), 853-886.
- Molenaar, K., Washington, S., & Diekmann, J. (2000). Structural equation model of construction contract dispute potential. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 126(4), 268-277.
- Morgan, D. L. (2007). Paradigms lost and pragmatism regained: Methodological implications of combining qualitative and quantitative methods. *Journal of mixed methods research*, 1(1), 48-76.

- Morris, P. (2004). The irrelevance of project management as a professional discipline.[Online] Available [http://www. indeco. co. uk/filestore. IrrelevanceofPMDiscipline. pdf](http://www.indeco.co.uk/filestore.IrrelevanceofPMDiscipline.pdf) (February 25, 2012).
- Morris, P. W., & Hough, G. H. (1987). The anatomy of major projects: A study of the reality of project management.
- Moura, H. M. P., & Teixeira, J. M. C. (2010). Managing stakeholders conflicts. *Construction stakeholder management*, 286-316.
- Muijs, D. (2010). *Doing quantitative research in education with SPSS*: Sage.
- Müller. (2009). *Project Governance*: Gower, Aldershot.
- Muller, R. (2011). Project governance. *Strategic Direction*, 27(2).
- Müller, R., & Lecoivre, L. (2014). Operationalizing governance categories of projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1346-1357.
- Müller, R., & Turner, R. (2007). The influence of project managers on project success criteria and project success by type of project. *European Management Journal*, 25(4), 298-309.
- Müller, R., Zhai, L., & Wang, A. (2017). Governance and governmentality in projects: Profiles and relationships with success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(3), 378-392.
- Müller, R., Zhai, L., Wang, A., & Shao, J. (2016). A framework for governance of projects: Governmentality, governance structure and projectification. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(6), 957-969.
- Narayanan, V., & DeFillippi, R. (2012). The influence of strategic context on project management systems: a senior management perspective *Project Governance* (pp. 3-45): Springer.

- Neu, N.-M. (2013). *Managing Stakeholders in Global Projects*. Institutt for produksjons- og kvalitetsteknikk.
- Newcombe, R. (2003). From client to project stakeholders: a stakeholder mapping approach. *Construction management and economics*, 21(8), 841-848.
- Nguyen, N. H., Skitmore, M., & Wong, J. K. W. (2009). Stakeholder impact analysis of infrastructure project management in developing countries: a study of perception of project managers in state - owned engineering firms in Vietnam. *Construction management and economics*, 27(11), 1129-1140.
- Nigro, G. L., Perrone, G., & Chiapparrone, S. (2012). Governance forms drivers in biopharmaceutical inter-firm relationships. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140(2), 604-613.
- Noor, M. A., Khalfan, M. M., & Maqsood, T. (2012). Methods used to procure infrastructure projects in Pakistan: an overview. *International Journal of Procurement Management*, 5(6), 733-752.
- Noor, M. A., Khalfan, M. M., & Maqsood, T. (2013). The role of procurement practices in effective implementation of infrastructure projects in Pakistan. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 6(4), 802-826.
- Norman, G. R., & Streiner, D. L. (2003). *PDQ statistics* (Vol. 1): PMPH-USA.
- NSW Office of Finance & Services. (2018). Retrieved from <https://www.finance.nsw.gov.au/publication-and-resources/benefits-realisation-management-framework>
- O'Leary - Kelly, S. W., & J. Vokurka, R. (1998). The empirical assessment of construct validity. *Journal of operations management*, 16(4), 387-405.
- O'Leary, T. (2012). *Decision-Making in Organisations*. In: Williams T.M., Samset K. (eds) *Project Governance*. London: Palgrave Macmillan,.

- OECD. (2004). *OECD Principles of Corporate Governance*. Retrieved from Paris, France:
- OGC. (2007). *Office of Government Commerce, Managing Successful Programmes (MSP)*. . London.
- OGC. (2007a). *Delivery Lifecycle: Benefits Management*. Retrieved from United Kingdom:
- OGC. (2007b). *Managing successful programmes*: The Stationery Office.
- OGC. (2009). *Office of Government Commerce, Managing Successful Projects with PRINCE2*. . The Stationery Office (TSO).
- Ogunlana, S. O. (2010). Beyond the ‘iron triangle’: Stakeholder perception of key performance indicators (KPIs) for large-scale public sector development projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(3), 228-236.
- Olander, S. (2007). Stakeholder impact analysis in construction project management. *Construction management and economics*, 25(3), 277-287.
- Olander, S., & Landin, A. (2005). Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(4), 321-328.
- Olander, S., & Landin, A. (2008). A comparative study of factors affecting the external stakeholder management process. *Construction management and economics*, 26(6), 553-561. doi:10.1080/01446190701821810
- Oliver, P. (2010). *The student's guide to research ethics*: McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Oloruntobi Dada, M. (2013). Expected success factors for public sector projects in Nigeria: a stakeholder analysis. *Organization, Technology & Management in Construction: An International Journal*, 5(2), 852-859.

- Ozorhon, B., Arditi, D., Dikmen, I., & Birgonul, M. T. (2010). Toward a multidimensional performance measure for international joint ventures in construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 137(6), 403-411.
- Pacagnella Júnior, A. C., Porto, G. S., Pacífico, O., & Salgado Júnior, A. P. (2015). Project stakeholder management: a case study of a Brazilian science park. *Journal of technology management & innovation*, 10(2), 39-49.
- Paivarinta, T., Dertz, W., & Flak, L. S. (2007). *Issues of adopting benefits management practices of IT investments in municipalities: a Delphi Study in Norway*. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2007. HICSS 2007. 40th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.
- Pallant, J. (2005). SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to using SPSS for windows (version 12). *New South Wales, Australia: Allen & Unwin*.
- Pallant, J. (2010). SPSS survival manual : a step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS (4th ed. ed.). Maidenhead :: Open University Press/McGraw-Hill.
- Park, C., Im, G., & Keil, M. (2008). Overcoming the mum effect in IT project reporting: Impacts of fault responsibility and time urgency. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 9(7), 409.
- Park, H., Kim, K., Kim, Y.-W., & Kim, H. (2017). Stakeholder management in long-term complex megaconstruction projects: The Saemangeum Project. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 33(4), 05017002.
- Parnell, G. S., Driscoll, P. J., & Henderson, D. L. (2011). *Decision making in systems engineering and management* (Vol. 81): John Wiley & Sons.
- Patanakul, P. (2014). Managing large-scale IS/IT projects in the public sector: Problems and causes leading to poor performance. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 25(1), 21-35.

- Patanakul, P., Kwak, Y. H., Zwikael, O., & Liu, M. (2016). What impacts the performance of large-scale government projects? *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(3), 452-466.
- Patel, D. (2007). Why executives should care about project governance; What your peers are doing about it. *PM World Today*, 9(4), 165-187.
- Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods*: SAGE Publications, inc.
- Pauls, C. A., & Stemmler, G. (2003). Substance and bias in social desirability responding. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 35(2), 263-275.
- Payne, M. (2007). Benefits Management—Releasing project value into the business. *Project Manager Today*. Hampshire.
- PC. (2010). *Manual for Development Projects*. Retrieved from <http://pc.gov.pk/uploads/downloads/perform/Manual-for-development-projects.pdf>.
- Pemsel, S., & Wiewiora, A. (2013). Project management office a knowledge broker in project-based organisations. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(1), 31-42.
- Peng, G., FENG, J.-w., & WANG, H.-t. (2010). Development and comparative analysis of the project management bodies of knowledge. *Management science and engineering*, 1(1), 106-111.
- Phillips, L. W., & Bagozzi, R. P. (1986). On measuring organizational properties of distribution channels: methodological issues in the use of key informants. *Research in Marketing*, 8(1), 313-369.
- Phillips, R. (2003). *Stakeholder theory and organizational ethics*: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

- Pinto, J. K. (2014). Project management, governance, and the normalization of deviance. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(3), 376-387.
- Pinto, J. K. (2016). *Project Management: Achieving Competitive Advantage* (4th ed.): Pearson.
- Platonova, E., Asutay, M., Dixon, R., & Mohammad, S. (2018). The impact of corporate social responsibility disclosure on financial performance: Evidence from the GCC Islamic banking sector. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151(2), 451-471.
- PMI. (2013). *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge : PMBOK Guide* (5 ed.). Newton Square, Pennsylvania, US: Project Management Institute.
- PMI. (2016a). *Governance of Portfolios, Programs, and Projects: A Practice Guide*. Retrieved from Newtown Square, PA, USA:
- PMI. (2016b). *The Strategic Impact of Projects: Identify benefits to drive business results*. Retrieved from Project Management Institute.:
- PMI. (2016c). *Delivering value: Focus on benefits during project execution*. Retrieved from Project Management Institute:
- PMI. (2017). *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge : PMBOK Guide* (6 ed.). Newton Square, Pennsylvania, US: Project Management Institute
- Podsakoff, N. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of applied psychology*, 885(879), 10.1037.
- Post, J. E., Preston, L. E., & Sauter-Sachs, S. (2002). *Redefining the corporation: Stakeholder management and organizational wealth*: Stanford University Press.

- Priemus, H., Flyvbjerg, B., & van Wee, B. (2008). *Decision-making on mega-projects: cost-benefit analysis, planning and innovation*: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Qureshi, T. M., Warraich, A. S., & Hijazi, S. T. (2009). Significance of project management performance assessment (PMPA) model. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(4), 378-388.
- Raguseo, E. (2018). Big data technologies: An empirical investigation on their adoption, benefits and risks for companies. *International Journal of Information Management*, 38(1), 187-195.
- Rajablu, M., Hamdi, S., Marthandan, G., & Yusoff, W. F. W. (2017). Managing for stakeholders: introducing stakeholder metrics-integrated model to lead project ethics and success. *International Journal of Project Organisation and Management*, 9(1), 31-56.
- Rajablu, M., Marthandan, G., & Yusoff, W. F. W. (2014). Managing for stakeholders: the role of stakeholder-based management in project success. *Asian Social Science*, 11(3), 111.
- Ralf Müller, P., Hjelmbrække, H., Lædre, O., & Lohne, J. (2014). The need for a project governance body. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 7(4), 661-677.
- Ram, J., & Corkindale, D. (2014). How “critical” are the critical success factors (CSFs)? Examining the role of CSFs for ERP. *Business Process Management Journal*, 20(1), 151-174.
- Rehman, A. U., Khan, A. M., & Khan, R. A. (2011a). Measuring Training Effectiveness: A Case Study Of Public Sector Project Management In Pakistan. *Journal of Diversity Management (JDM)*, 6(1). doi:10.19030/jdm.v6i1.4170
- Rehman, A. U., Khan, A. M., & Khan, R. A. (2011b). Measuring training effectiveness: A case study of public sector project management in Pakistan. *Journal of diversity management*, 6(1), 39.

- Reiss, G. (2006). *Gower Handbook of programme management*: Gower Publishing, Ltd.
- Reside Jr, R. E., & Mendoza Jr, A. M. (2010). (DP 2010-03) Determinants of Outcomes of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in Infrastructure in Asia. *UPSE Discussion Papers*.
- Reside, R. E., & Mendoza, A. M. (2010). *Determinants of outcomes of public-private partnerships (PPP) in infrastructure in Asia*. Retrieved from
- Ritzinger, L. (2015). The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor. *Regional Dynamics and China's Geopolitical Ambitions. The National Bureau of Asian Research*.
- Rockart, J. F. (1979). Chief executives define their own data needs. *Harvard business review*, 57(2), 81-93.
- Rossmann, G. B., & Wilson, B. L. (1985). Numbers and words: Combining quantitative and qualitative methods in a single large-scale evaluation study. *Evaluation review*, 9(5), 627-643.
- Rowlinson, S., & Cheung, Y. K. F. (2008). Stakeholder management through empowerment: modelling project success. *Construction management and economics*, 26(6), 611-623.
- Ruhl, J. B., Kraft, S. E., & Lant, C. L. (2013). *The law and policy of ecosystem services*: Island Press.
- Ruuska, I., Ahola, T., Artto, K., Locatelli, G., & Mancini, M. (2011). A new governance approach for multi-firm projects: Lessons from Olkiluoto 3 and Flamanville 3 nuclear power plant projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(6), 647-660.
- Saghatforoush, E., Trigunarsyah, B., Too, E. G., & Heravitorbati, A. (2011). *Effectiveness of constructability concept in the provision of infrastructure assets*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of The First International Postgraduate Conference

on Engineering, Designing and Developing the Built Environment for Sustainable Wellbeing.

Salapatas, J. N. (1985). *Performance measurement for projects and project management*.

Samset, K., & Volden, G. H. (2016). Front-end definition of projects: Ten paradoxes and some reflections regarding project management and project governance. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(2), 297-313.

Sankaran, S., Remington, K., & Turner, C. (2008). *Relationship between project governance and Project performance: A multiple case study of shutdown maintenance projects in a maritime environment*. Paper presented at the PMI Global Congress Asia Pacific Project Management Conference.

Santosh Kumar Delhi, V., Mahalingam, A., & Palukuri, S. (2012). Governance issues in BOT based PPP infrastructure projects in India. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 2(2), 234-249.

Sapountzis, S., Yates, K., Kagioglou, M., & Aouad, G. (2009). Realising benefits in primary healthcare infrastructures. *Facilities*, 27(3/4), 74-87.

Sapountzis, S., Yates, K., Lima, J. B., & Kagioglou, M. (2010). Planning and Evaluating Healthcare Infrastructures and Services. *Improving Healthcare through Built Environment Infrastructure*, 166.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research methods for business students*. London: Financial Times Prentice Hall Inc.

Schibi, O. (2013). *Managing stakeholder expectations for project success: a knowledge integration framework and value focused approach*: J. Ross Publishing.

Schneider, M. (2002). A stakeholder model of organizational leadership. *Organization Science*, 13(2), 209-220.

- Schroeder, A., Pauleen, D., & Huff, S. (2012). KM governance: the mechanisms for guiding and controlling KM programs. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16(1), 3-21.
- Schwalbe, K. (2015). *Information technology project management*: Cengage Learning.
- Schwartz, M. S., & Carroll, A. B. (2008). Integrating and unifying competing and complementary frameworks: The search for a common core in the business and society field. *Business & Society*, 47(2), 148-186.
- Serra, C. E. M., & Kunc, M. (2015). Benefits realisation management and its influence on project success and on the execution of business strategies. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(1), 53-66.
- Sha, K. (2011). Vertical governance of construction projects: an information cost perspective. *Construction management and economics*, 29(11), 1137-1147.
- Shabbir, A. (2015). *Corruption in Infrastructure Procurement-A Study Based on Procurement of Infrastructure Projects in Pakistan*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).
- Shaikh, F., Ji, Q., & Fan, Y. (2016). Prospects of Pakistan–China energy and economic corridor. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 59, 253-263.
- Sharma, C. (2012). Determinants of PPP in infrastructure in developing economies. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 6(2), 149-166.
- Sharma, M. K., & Jain, P. K. (2010). Revisiting flexibility in organizations: exploring its impact on performance. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 11(3), 51.
- Sharma, S., & Goyal, P. K. (2014). Cost overrun factors and project cost risk assessment in construction industry-a state of the art review. *International Journal of Civil Engineering (IJCE) Vol*, 3, 139-154.

- Sharma, S., & Henriques, I. (2005). Stakeholder influences on sustainability practices in the Canadian forest products industry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(2), 159-180.
- Shi, D., & Daniels, R. L. (2003). A survey of manufacturing flexibility: Implications for e-business flexibility. *IBM Systems Journal*, 42(3), 414-427.
- Shiferaw, A. T. (2013). Front-End Project Governance: Choice of Project Concept and Decision-Making—An International Perspective.
- Sial, A., Usman, M. K., Zufiqar, S., Satti, A. M., & Khursheed, I. (2013). Why Do Public Sector Organisations Fail In Implementation of Strategic Plan in Pakistan. *Public Policy and Administration Research*, 3(1), 222-573.
- Singh, A. S., & Masuku, M. B. (2014). Sampling techniques & determination of sample size in applied statistics research: An overview. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 2(11), 1-22.
- Sirisomboonsuk, P., Gu, V. C., Cao, R. Q., & Burns, J. R. (2018). Relationships between project governance and information technology governance and their impact on project performance. *International Journal of Project Management*, 36(2), 287-300.
- Smith, J., & Love, P. E. (2004). Stakeholder management during project inception: Strategic needs analysis. *Journal of architectural engineering*, 10(1), 22-33.
- Sonuga, F., Aliboh, O., & Oloke, D. (2002). Particular barriers and issues associated with projects in a developing and emerging economy. Case study of some abandoned water and irrigation projects in Nigeria. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(8), 611-616.
- Spector, P. E. (2006). Method variance in organizational research: truth or urban legend? *Organizational research methods*, 9(2), 221-232.

- Stoker, G. (1998). Governance as theory: five propositions. *International Social Science Journal*, 50(155), 17-28.
- Stoney, C., & Winstanley, D. (2001). Stakeholding: confusion or utopia? Mapping the conceptual terrain. *Journal of management studies*, 38(5), 603-626.
- Sutterfield, J. S., Friday-Stroud, S. S., & Shivers-Blackwell, S. L. (2006). A case study of project and stakeholder management failures: lessons learned. *Project Management Quarterly*, 37(5), 26.
- Tahir, P. (2005). Institutional machinery for managing the Pakistan economy. *THE LAHORE JOURNAL*, 107.
- Takim, R. (2009). The management of stakeholders' needs and expectations in the development of construction project in Malaysia. *Modern Applied Science*, 3(5), 167.
- Tanaka, S., & Muzones, M. (2016). Policy Brief on Female Labor Force Participation in Pakistan,(ADB Briefs No. 70): Metro Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- Terje Karlsen, J., Græe, K., & Jensvold Massaoud, M. (2008). Building trust in project-stakeholder relationships. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 3(1), 7-22.
- Thiengkamol, N. (2011). Development of a food security management model for agricultural community. *Canadian Social Science*, 7(5), 162-166.
- Thompson, R. L., Smith, H. J., & Iacovou, C. L. (2007). The linkage between reporting quality and performance in IS projects. *Information & Management*, 44(2), 196-205.
- Thomson, D. S., Austin, S. A., Devine-Wright, H., & Mills, G. R. (2003). Managing value and quality in design. *Building Research & Information*, 31(5), 334-345.
- Thorp, J. (2003). *The information paradox: realizing the business benefits of information technology*: McGraw-Hill Ryerson.

- Tillmann, P., Tzortzopoulos, P., & Formoso, C. (2010). *Analysing benefits realisation from a theoretical perspective and its contribution to value generation*. Paper presented at the 18th Annual Conference, International Group for Lean Construction.
- Tillmann, P., Tzortzopoulos, P., Sapountzis, S., Formoso, C., & Kagioglou, M. (2012). A case study on benefits realization and its contributions for achieving project outcomes.
- Too, E. G., & Weaver, P. (2014). The management of project management: A conceptual framework for project governance. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1382-1394.
- Trauner, T. J. (1993). *Managing the construction project: a practical guide for the project manager* (Vol. 2): John Wiley & Sons Incorporated.
- Treasury, H. (2007). Project Governance: A guidance note for public sector projects. *The Stationery Office, London*.
- Treasury, H. M. s. (2007). Project Governance: A guidance note for public sector projects. *The Stationery Office, London*.
- Tsoukas, H. (1996). The firm as a distributed knowledge system: a constructionist approach. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(S2), 11-25.
- Turner, J. R. (2009). The handbook of project-based management: leading strategic change in organizations (Vol. 452): McGraw-Hill.
- Turner, J. R. (2018). The management of the project-based organization: A personal reflection: Elsevier.
- ul Musawir, A., Serra, C. E. M., Zwikael, O., & Ali, I. (2017). Project governance, benefit management, and project success: Towards a framework for supporting organizational strategy implementation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(8), 1658-1672.

- Ullah, F., Thaheem, M. J., Siddiqui, S. Q., & Khurshid, M. B. (2017). Influence of Six Sigma on project success in construction industry of Pakistan. *The TQM Journal*, 29(2), 276-309.
- Unab, W., & Kundi, M. F. A. (2014). Review of project management (PM) practices in public infrastructure development organizations of Pakistan. *Journal of Strategy and Performance Management*, 2(4), 144.
- Uribe, D., Ortiz-Marcos, I., & Uruburu, Á. (2018). What is going on with stakeholder theory in project management literature? A symbiotic relationship for sustainability. *Sustainability*, 10(4), 1300.
- Van Marrewijk, A., Clegg, S. R., Pitsis, T. S., & Veenswijk, M. (2008). Managing public-private megaprojects: Paradoxes, complexity, and project design. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(6), 591-600.
- Veal, A. J. (2005). *Business research methods: A managerial approach*: Pearson Education Australia/Addison Wesley.
- Venkatraman, N. (1989). The concept of fit in strategy research: Toward verbal and statistical correspondence. *Academy of management review*, 14(3), 423-444.
- Walker, A., & Kwong Wing, C. (1999). The relationship between construction project management theory and transaction cost economics. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 6(2), 166-176.
- Walker, D. (2003). Implications of human capital issues. *Procurement strategies: A relationship based approach*, 258-295.
- Walker, D. H., Bourne, L., & Rowlinson, S. (2008). Stakeholders and the supply chain. *Procurement Systems-A Cross Industry Project Management Perspective*, 70-100.

- Walker, D. H. T., & Nogeste, K. (2005). Project outcomes and outputs: making the intangible tangible. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 9(4), 55-68. doi:10.1108/13683040510634844
- Walker, I. (2010). *Research methods and statistics*: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wang, N., Yao, S., Wu, C.-C., & Jiang, D. (215). *Critical Factors for Sustainable Project Management in Public Projects*. Paper presented at the IAMOT 2015, Cape Town.
- Wang, Y.-R., & Gibson, G. E. (2010). A study of preproject planning and project success using ANNs and regression models. *Automation in construction*, 19(3), 341-346.
- Ward, J., & Daniel, E. (2012). *Benefits management: how to increase the business value of your IT projects*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ward, J., De Hertogh, S., & Viaene, S. (2007a). *Managing benefits from IS/IT investments: An empirical investigation into current practice*. Paper presented at the null.
- Ward, J., De Hertogh, S., & Viaene, S. (2007b). *Managing benefits from IS/IT investments: An empirical investigation into current practice*. Paper presented at the 2007 40th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'07).
- Ward, J., Taylor, P., & Bond, P. (1996). Evaluation and realisation of IS/IT benefits: an empirical study of current practice. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 4(4), 214-225.
- Ward, S., & Chapman, C. (2003). Transforming project risk management into project uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(2), 97-105.
- Weaver, P. (2007). *Effective project governance—linking PMI's standards to project governance*. Paper presented at the PMI Global Congress—Hong Kong.

- Weir, C., Laing, D., & McKnight, P. J. (2002). Internal and external governance mechanisms: their impact on the performance of large UK public companies. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 29(5 - 6), 579-611.
- Weiss, J. (2006). Business Ethics: A Stakeholder and Issues Management Approach, Mason, OH: Thomson/South-Western, 2006; Reynolds, SJ, Schultz, FC, and Hekman, DR Stakeholder theory and managerial decision-making: Constraints and implications of balancing stakeholder interests. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 64, 285-301.
- Westland, J. C. (2012). *Modern Path Analysis & Structural Equation Models*: CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Wideman, R. M. (2004). How to motivate all stakeholders to work together. *Field guide to project management*, 288.
- Williams, T. (1993). Risk-management infrastructures. *International Journal of Project Management*, 11(1), 5-10.
- Williams, T., Klakegg, O. J., Magnussen, O. M., & Glasspool, H. (2010). An investigation of governance frameworks for public projects in Norway and the UK. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(1), 40-50.
- Williams, T., & Samset, K. (2012). *Project governance: Getting investments right*: Springer.
- Williamson, O. (1985). The Economic Institutions of Capitalism The Free Press New York. *Williamson OE (1993), Calculativeness, trust and economic organization* *Journal of Law and Economics* vol, 36, 453-486.
- Williamson, O. E. (1975). Markets and Hierarchies: A study in the Economics of Internal Organization: New York: Free Press.
- Williamson, O. E. (1979). Transaction-cost economics: the governance of contractual relations. *The journal of Law and Economics*, 22(2), 233-261.

- Willson, P., & Pollard, C. (2009). Exploring IT governance in theory and practice in a large multi-national organisation in Australia. *Information Systems Management*, 26(2), 98-109.
- Winch, G. M. (2001). Governing the project process: a conceptual framework. *Construction Management & Economics*, 19(8), 799-808.
- Winch, G. M. (2010). *Managing construction projects*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Winter, M., Smith, C., Morris, P., & Cicmil, S. (2006). Directions for future research in project management: The main findings of a UK government-funded research network. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(8), 638-649.
- WorldBank. (2017). "Social analysis: glossary of key terms". Retrieved from <http://go.worldbank.org/HSXB13LCA0>
- Xiang, W., Li, Y., & Shou, Y. (2013). *An empirical study of critical success factors of project governance in China*. Paper presented at the Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM), 2013 IEEE International Conference on.
- Xie, L.-l., Yang, Y., Hu, Y., & PC Chan, A. (2014). Understanding project stakeholders' perceptions of public participation in China's infrastructure and construction projects: Social effects, benefits, forms, and barriers. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 21(2), 224-240.
- Yamane, T. (1967). Elementary sampling theory.
- Yang, J., Shen, G. Q., Bourne, L., Ho, C. M. F., & Xue, X. (2011). A typology of operational approaches for stakeholder analysis and engagement. *Construction management and economics*, 29(2), 145-162.
- Yang, J., Shen, G. Q., Drew, D. S., & Ho, M. (2009). Critical success factors for stakeholder management: Construction practitioners' perspectives. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 136(7), 778-786.

- Yang, J., Shen, G. Q., Ho, M., Drew, D. S., & Xue, X. (2011). Stakeholder management in construction: An empirical study to address research gaps in previous studies. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(7), 900-910.
- Yang, R. J., Wang, Y., & Jin, X. H. (2014). Stakeholders' Attributes, Behaviors, and Decision - Making Strategies in Construction Projects: Importance and Correlations in Practice. *Project Management Journal*, 45(3), 74-90.
- Zainudin, A. (2012). Structural equation modeling using AMOS graphic. *Shah Alam: Universiti Teknologi MARA Publication Centre (UPENA)*.
- Zhai, L., Xin, Y., & Cheng, C. (2009). Understanding the value of project management from a stakeholder's perspective: Case study of mega - project management. *Project Management Journal*, 40(1), 99-109.
- Zhai, Z., Ahola, T., Le, Y., & Xie, J. (2017). Governmental governance of megaprojects: the case of EXPO 2010 Shanghai. *Project Management Journal*, 48(1), 37-50.
- Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2013). *Business research methods*: Cengage Learning.
- Zwikael, O. (2016). International journal of project management special issue on “project benefit management”.
- Zwikael, O., & Smyrk, J. (2012). A general framework for gauging the performance of initiatives to enhance organizational value. *British journal of management*, 23, S6-S22.
- Zwikael, O., & Smyrk, J. (2015). Project governance: Balancing control and trust in dealing with risk. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(4), 852-862. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.012>
- Zwikael, O., & Smyrk, J. R. (2011). *Project management for the creation of organisational value*: Springer.